

09-12-05

1FW

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Lukas P.P.P. van Ginneken

Title: Timing Closure Methodology

Application No.: 10/828,547

Filing Date: April 19, 2004

Examiner: Unassigned

Group Art Unit: 2825

Docket No.: MDAI.001US3

Conf. No.: 3884

DUPLICATE



Certificate of Mailing Under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on September 8, 2005

Mary E. Buggie
Mary E. Buggie

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Dear Sir:

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.56, 1.97 and 1.98, Applicant calls the documents listed on the enclosed Form PTO-1449 to the Examiner's attention in this patent application. Copies of the documents listed on the accompanying Form PTO-1449 are enclosed.

Citation of these documents shall not be construed as (1) an admission that the documents are prior art with respect to the invention or inventions claimed in this application, (2) a representation that a search has been made (other than as indicated by any cited document), or (3) an admission that the cited information is, or is considered to be, material to patentability as defined in § 1.56(b).

Attorney Docket No.: MDAI.001US3
Express Mail No.: EV357277718US


Application No.: 10/828,547

This information disclosure statement is submitted under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(b) and consequently no fee should be required. The Commissioner is authorized, however, to charge any fee that may be required, or to credit any overpayment, against Deposit Account No. 502664. This form is being submitted in duplicate.

**EXPRESS MAIL
LABEL NO:**

EV357277718US

Respectfully submitted,


Gerald P. Parsons
Reg. No.: 24,486

September 8, 2005

Date

PARSONS HSUE & DE RUNTZ LLP
595 Market Street, Suite 1900
San Francisco, CA 94105
(415) 318-1160 (main)
(415) 318-1163 (direct)
(415) 693-0194 (fax)

U.S. Department of Commerce, Patent and Trademark INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (Use several sheets if necessary) (Form PTO-1449)	Atty. Docket No. MDAL001US3 Applicant Lukas P.P.P. van Ginneken Filing Date April 19, 2004	Application No. 10/828,547 Conf. No. 3884 Art Group 2825
---	---	---

U.S. Patent Documents

*Examiner Initial	Document Number	Date	Name	Class	Subclass	Filing Date If Appropriate

U.S. Published Patent Application Documents

*Examiner Initial	Document Number	Date	Name	Class	Subclass	Filing Date If Appropriate

Foreign Patent Documents

							Translation	
	Document	Date	Country	Class	Subclass		Yes	No

OTHER ART (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)

1	Synopsis, Inc. vs. Magma Design Automation, Inc., C04-03923 MMC, "Defendant Magma Design Automation, Inc.'s Answer to Plaintiff Synopsys, Inc.'s Third Amended Complaint and Counterclaims, Demand for Jury Trial," filed in the U.S. District Court, Northern District of California, San Francisco Division on September 2, 2005, 38 pages.
2	Synopsis, Inc. vs. Magma Design Automation, Inc., C04-03923 MMC, "Declaration of Lukas van Ginneken," filed in the U.S. District Court, Northern District of California, San Francisco Division on July 29, 2005, 8 pages.
3	Synopsis, Inc. vs. Magma Design Automation, Inc., C04-03923 MMC, "Videotaped Deposition Upon Oral Examination of Lukas van Ginneken, PhD, Volume I," dated April 26, 2005, 58 pages.
4	Synopsis, Inc. vs. Magma Design Automation, Inc., C04-03923 MMC, "Videotaped Deposition Upon Oral Examination of Lukas van Ginneken, PhD, Volume II," dated April 27, 2005, 58 pages.
5	Synopsis, Inc. vs. Magma Design Automation, Inc., C04-03923 MMC, "Third Amended Complaint for: 1. Patent Infringement; 2. Breach of Contract; 3. Inducing Breach of Contract/Interference With Contractual Relations; 4. Fraud; 5. Conversion; 6. Unjust Enrichment/Constructive Trust and; 7. Unfair Competition, Demand for Jury Trial," filed in the U.S. District Court, Northern District of California, San Francisco Division on August 3, 2005, 37 pages.

Examiner

Date Considered

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with your communication to applicant.